(b) and (c) As per available information, Flagyl I.V. Injection and Flagyl F. Tablet/Suspension are being manufactured by two small scale units namely M/s. Denis Pharmacy and M/s. Biodeal Laboratories respectively and marketed by M/s. Rhone Poulenc as stated in reply to part (a) above. Further details in the matter are being ascertained.

(d) and (e) The Company has been asked to furnish certain details in the matter which are awaited.

(f) The requisite information to the extent available is given in the annexed statement. (*See* below).

(g) This data is not being monitored.

Statement

No.	Name of the Company	Production M. T.		
		1986-87	1987-88	1988-89
1	M/s. May & Baker	5.84	14.45	23.01
2	M/s. Uni Chem	89.91	123.28	93.76
3	M/s. Unique Chem	137.17	204.55	114.70
4	M/s. Fairdeal	6.09	15.26	15.23
5	M/s. Raptakos Brett	..	..	0.79
6	M/s. Chemopharma	6.57	0.43	9.66
7	M/s. Metroni Drugs	83.36	86.95	..
8	M/s. Unilcils	3.41	..	..
9	M/s. Caffeinhal Organics	0.77	..	..
10	M/s. Unique Pharma	..	..	18.41

Rectification of set off allowed to drug companies

*160. SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA :

CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK :

Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 69 given in the Rajya Sabha on 30th April, 1990 and state :

(a) whether it is a fact that his Ministry has come to know that set offs have been allowed to certain companies wrongly ;

(b) if so, what are the names of those companies ;

(c) what steps have been taken to rectify the situation in each case;

(d) whether it is a fact that his Ministry has revised the liability after the rectification of set offs ; and

(e) if so, what is the revised liability in each case ?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY) : (a) Several representations have been received against allowing of set offs to the drug companies while calculating their liabilities to pay into the Drug Prices Equalisation Account.

(b) The names of the companies would be known only after the

tentative ltabilities assessed so far are are finalised in due course.

(c) The process of review and scrutiny of the amounts already calculated is in progress.

(d) and (e) A statement giving the names of the companies whose cases have been reviewed and the tentative amounts have been assessed against them have been revised is attached. (*See* below). As a part of review only those set offs which are admissible in accordance with Law would be allowed while finalising the liabilities in these cases and other cases.

Statement

(Rupees in lakhs)

S. No	Name of the Company	Tentative amount assessed by the Special Team upto 31-12-1983	Tentative amount assessed upto 31-12-1983 after review
	1. *Supreme Court Cases*		
1	M/s. Pfizer Limited	48.21	87.61
2	,, Cyanamid India Ltd.	389.06	536.91
3	,, Hoechst (I) Limited	458.10	3491.05
4	,, Anil Starch Products Ltd.	11.61	11.61
5	,, John. Wyeth (I) Ltd.	133.45	173.02
	,, Gelffrey Manners	28.37	28.37
6	,, Ethnor Limited	8.15	10.19
7	,, S.G. Pharmaceuticals	114.30	205.36
8	,, Tamil Nadu Dadha Pharmaceuticals Limited	15.59	37.97
9	,, Merind Limited	138.79	781.58
10	,, Franco Indian Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Griffon Limited.	11.02	14.02
	2. *High Court Cases*		
11	M/s. Glaxe Labs	3700.00	6635.00
	3. *Other Cases under Paragraph* 7(2) *of DPCO*, 1979		
1	M/s. Boots Company (I) Ltd.	42.82	62.17
2	,, Lyka Lbs.	..	678.73
3	,, Burroughs Wellcome	..	441.27